

# Is the Kingdom of Christ a Tiny Speck?

by Jeremy James



The Enemy perverts and distorts everything. Mockery and blasphemy are his stock in trade. He was a murderer and a liar from the beginning.

There are many science fiction movies where the hero travels at light speed across the galaxy in search of new planets to inhabit. He has many incredible adventures along the way but eventually he gets homesick and sets off on the return journey to earth. His eyes light up as he passes the various planets in our solar system and finally broaches the shoulder of Mars before making his final descent to the crisp blue sphere of planet earth.

## **More than a century of continuous brainwashing**

About 150 years ago almost everyone on earth regarded this simply as a story. They didn't understand the structure of the cosmos or the startling discoveries that science would make over the next century. Within three generations or so the story became a reality. Most of mankind now believe that intergalactic space travel is possible and that there are hundreds of galaxies, not unlike our own, scattered across the vastness of the cosmos, just waiting to be explored.

Without the wonders of modern science we would still think the earth was flat, that the sun moved in a daily circuit high above the earth, and that the stars were 'lights' in the night sky, as it says in the Bible. Science, they say, has freed us from these quaint poetic metaphors. Man needed these at one time, but as his understanding grew he finally came of age. Religion became more rational, deferring to science in matters of cosmology.

## **The Royal Society, founded in 1660**

We have the English to thank for this. Without them we would still be languishing in medieval ignorance. The Royal Society, founded (we are told) by noble individuals of impeccable character, laid the foundations of modern science. They identified the laws of physics by which everything operates and, using their new insights, made a startling series of discoveries which revealed just how large the universe really is. They were shocked to find that everything seemed to function perfectly without any input from God. Despite their best efforts to vindicate the model of the world described in Scripture, they were shocked to find that the Bible was wrong in many crucial respects. Indeed, some of these deeply religious men were so shaken by what they found that they lost their faith and became atheists.



**Headquarters today of the Royal Society in London**

Others persisted in their quest for 'truth', but it seemed that each advance in science only threw up further evidence that the Bible was wrong. The earth, it turned out, was really millions of years old and man himself had evolved from apes. It was with great reluctance that they shared this depressing and discouraging news with the rest of mankind. As true Englishmen, they did what had to be done, regardless of the cost to themselves. Facts were facts and there was no point in trying to hide from the truth.

## **The earth is a spaceship, they said**

The earth itself is a spaceship, they said, a mere speck in the vast depths of the universe. An astronaut returning to earth from another galaxy could easily lose his way. One tiny miscalculation and he could end up billions of miles off course. Naturally, that could never happen in our enlightened scientific age, but if it did, he would still have many other earth-like planets to choose from. His faster-than-light intergalactic vessel would swiftly take him to a world teeming with exotic animals and, if he used the right interdimensional co-ordinates, he would even encounter other intelligent beings just like himself.

Of course, there are still many technical problems to address, but those ever-creative Englishmen, in consultation with their international counterparts, are sure to solve them. After all, they figured out how to send satellites around the earth and their American colleagues even got a space capsule on the moon. Why, human hands dug holes in the lunar surface and found valuable rocks. The ease with which these mighty intellectuals are turning science fiction into scientific reality is truly mind-boggling. Where would we be without them?



### **The Royal Society gave scientific validity to the Dinosaur Hoax**

Why then, despite all their apparent success, do the words "rat" and "smell" keep coming to mind?

By now the reader will have guessed that our account of the scientific revolution is not quite as respectful as the experts had hoped. Are we justified in adopting such a flippant attitude? After all, these men of science have made many remarkable discoveries and we should be humbled by their achievements.


### **Some simple questions**

Before we send the president of the Royal Society a gilded laurel or a jewel-encrusted sceptre, let's first ask a few simple questions. These are not the questions of a sceptic, but those of an enquiring mind, the kind of questions we should all ask if we really want to test the truth of a scientific proposition. Firstly, gravity. An Englishman came up with that concept. He claimed that matter attracts matter, and if the two masses are large enough the gravitational attraction between them can keep them in elliptical motion in a vacuum. Really? Has this ever been shown anywhere? Actually, it hasn't.

The theory of gravity is exactly that, just a theory. Things fall to the earth for the same reason today that they did when Adam walked in the garden. That reason, whatever it is, has no connection whatever with 'gravity'. How do we know? Because if gravity did exist, the sun would have pulled Mercury out of its orbit long ago, along with the rest of the so-called solar system. The unidirectional force exerted by a mass of that magnitude would be irresistible.

Relativity theory was invented to get around this problem. It claims that orbital paths are channels formed in the space-time continuum by the powerful gravitational waves projected by the sun. This new theory, which virtually ignores cause and effect – the very backbone of genuine science – was designed to cover up the glaring defects in the old theory. No doubt another theory will come along very shortly to hide the glaring defects in relativity theory.

What other 'obvious' questions should we ask? Let's try this one: If the earth is a sphere moving through space at 66,666 miles an hour (I jest not), while rotating on its axis at a thousand miles an hour, why do the centrifugal forces, which are critical to maintaining the planets in their orbits (by countering the gravitational pull of the sun), not cause discernible resistance to motion on earth? After all, if we are moving in one direction at over 66,000 miles an hour, then terrestrial motion in *another* direction would meet with greater resistance than terrestrial motion in the *same* direction.



Since we don't experience these forces or distortionary effects, even at a micro level, the earth must be stationary – just as the Bible says. And if the earth is stationary it cannot be a sphere, otherwise the sun would shine permanently on only half of its surface.


### **The so-called ancient earth**

Let's try another one: What proof do we have that the earth is hundreds of millions of years old? The Royal Society has several answers to this. Firstly, the earth must be millions of years old since life needed such long periods of time to evolve. Secondly, the earth cannot be younger than the other planets in our solar system since they all emerged together from the Big Bang, which occurred billions of year ago. Thirdly, radiometric dating, which is based on the known rates of decay of radioactive elements, is consistent with an earth-age of several hundred million years.

One doesn't need a doctorate from Oxford to see that all of this is foolishness. Evolution itself is a hoax, so there is no need for vast lengths of time for species to 'evolve'. The Big Bang assumes that the basic elements of the cosmology invented by the Royal Society are correct, which they aren't. And, finally, radiometric dating requires additional data, other than radioactive decay, which already *presuppose* that the earth is millions of years old.

But what about the dinosaurs? Ah, yes, the dinosaurs. Yet another English invention, a shameless hoax – Luciferian chicanery at its most arrogant. This blatant lie proved so successful that the English became greatly emboldened and went on to invent more in a similar vein, such as the satellite and the atomic bomb.

Around the time that they invented the dinosaur, they put together an absurd piece of racist journalism called *The Origin of Species* (1859). This purported to prove that mankind descended from apes and that all life on earth 'evolved' – sprung randomly! – from a muddy pool. As an exercise in magical thinking, this absurd work has no equal. The English laughed at the ignorant superstition of the foreign tribes they were enslaving, while at the same time spreading a subversive, pagan mythology of their own.



Well, the reader may ask, if the earth is not ancient and if life did not 'evolve', then at least the Royal Society is right about the solar system, namely the orbital rotation of nine planetary spheres around a huge star called the sun? Alas, no. This too is part of the great Luciferian fairytale concocted by the English elite. The planets are simply lights in the sky, just as the Bible has stated. Seemingly the only difference between the planets and the stars is that the planets move about in the heavens, while the stars do not.

### **The Luciferian model of the universe**

This brings us all the way back to our original scenario, the intrepid space voyager returning to earth after many years spent exploring other galaxies. Just about everyone alive today has been indoctrinated with this cosmic model. Even if opinions vary greatly as to how long it will take to develop the technology needed to explore the depths of space and visit other star systems, the cosmology itself is firmly lodged in the minds of most people. To them the earth is but a tiny speck in a staggeringly vast expanse of pointless, chaotic emptiness, just as the Luciferians designed it.

Anyone who seriously believes this false cosmology will have immense difficulty believing the account given in the first eleven chapters of Genesis. Indeed, the impact of this vile cosmological lie has been so great, and its effects so caustic, that the vast majority of people on earth today have no Biblical faith whatever.



## **The Bible teaches that space travel is impossible**

As we have shown in previous papers, a proper reading of the Bible will reveal that outer space cannot possibly exist. The Word of God tells us very plainly that the earth does not move, but that the sun does. It never refers to the stars as anything other than lights in the sky. The possibility that man might someday leave the earth and travel elsewhere is nowhere implied or suggested. In fact, the Word continually emphasizes the very opposite, the ultimate futility of all that man does by his own power. He is incapable of saving himself. He has nowhere to go but the grave.

In one passage of Scripture the prophet Jeremiah explicitly denies that space travel will ever be possible:

**"Thus saith the LORD, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; The LORD of hosts is his name: If those ordinances depart from before me, saith the LORD, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before me for ever. Thus saith the LORD; If heaven above can be measured, and the foundations of the earth searched out beneath, I will also cast off all the seed of Israel for all that they have done, saith the LORD." – Jeremiah 31:35-37**

It is impossible to twist or construe this passage to mean anything other than what it plainly says. Man will never measure the heavens nor the foundations of the earth. Never. And if that is the case, then he has no means of leaving the earth to attempt either measurement. Space travel is impossible.



**Walt Disney, who did much to promote the great moon hoax.**

This means that those who allege that man has already done both are greatly deceived. NASA never landed on the moon in 1969 or thereafter, but executed instead an elaborate charade. The supposed proof of their adventures is flimsy and risible, to say the least. It was certainly a giant leap for mankind, a leap into fantasy and pantomime.

We are asked to believe that, in eight days, three men travelled more than half a million miles in an aluminum can through inconceivable extremes of temperature wearing only plastic pyjamas. What is more, they allegedly did this nearly 50 years ago!

### **An onus on Christian pastors**

There is an onus on Christian pastors to scrutinize these claims and test them rigorously against Scripture. Every time they affirm the existence of outer space and the possibility of space travel, they deny God's Word. Every time they describe the earth as a "tiny speck" they are demeaning God's wonderful creation and giving glory, albeit unintentionally, to the Great Deceiver. They are saying, in effect, that, when the Father created a kingdom for His Son to rule in eternity, it would turn out to be no more significant than a speck of dust in a huge auditorium.



**Werner Von Braun, German Nazi who headed the NASA deception**

What does this say about the Father's love for His Son? What does it say about the wonderful sacrifice that His Son made on Calvary, bringing great glory to His Father? And what does it say about Christians who place more faith in the unproven claims of arrogant, rebellious men than in the imperishable Word of God?

Pastors, wake up. Take the time to unravel the lies. Challenge what science is telling you, specifically what the apostle Paul called the "**oppositions of science falsely so called**" (1 Timothy 6:20).

### **Psalm 19**

Look again at Psalm 19, especially verses 4-6:

- 1 The heavens declare the glory of God; and the firmament sheweth his handywork.**
- 2 Day unto day uttereth speech, and night unto night sheweth knowledge.**
- 3 There is no speech nor language, where their voice is not heard.**


4 Their line is gone out through all the earth, and their words to the end of the world.  
 In them hath he set a tabernacle for the sun,  
 5 Which is as a bridegroom coming out of his chamber,  
 and rejoiceth as a strong man to run a race.  
 6 His going forth is from the end of the heaven, and his circuit unto the ends of it:  
 and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.

The Word of God is saying that the sun moves, that it comes forth and travels in a circuit across the sky. It is very difficult to construe this passage as a description of a stationary celestial body.

As Christians we are asked to use our minds and be sober, to look at the evidence in a rational and measured way. Most of the miracles of Christ were performed in a public arena and often on persons whose disability was obvious to everyone in the local community. Jesus asked his disciples to believe in him on the basis of his word or, failing that, on the basis of his miracles:

**"Believe me that I am in the Father, and the Father in me: or else  
 believe me for the very works' sake." (John 14:11)**

It is not irrational to have doubts, but it is irrational to continue to reject evidence that does not match our expectations.



### **A powerful new camera**

The LORD in His mercy has given us access at this time to technology which allows us to test for ourselves certain claims made by the science of cosmology. We don't need to trust blindly in the authority of NASA or another vaunted institution. The super-zoom camera is one of these new technological tools, accessible to the public at (for some) an affordable price. One of the best examples at the moment is the Nikon P900 which takes remarkably clear photographic images, both still and video, at high magnification.



Christians who have used this camera, or the camera feature that can be attached to certain astronomical telescopes, have been taking photos of the planets and stars. Some of these are posted on the Internet, notably YouTube. What they are finding is vastly different from the images published by NASA. They show, for example, that the stars "twinkle", not because of light refraction in the upper atmosphere, but because they are constantly changing in appearance, as though energy of different frequencies was discharging across their surface. They are neither spherical nor solid but possess a dynamic morphology which emits light in ever-changing colors and patterns.

This is fully consistent with the Biblical description of the stars as "lights" in the "firmament," discrete sources of illumination not too dissimilar in size, located roughly the same distance above the earth.



**Night sky over the Mojave desert.**

While in the Mojave desert in 1982 (and on several occasions since), I observed the way some stars actually changed color, from blue to orange, or from white to yellow, in the space of a few minutes. They also "twinkled" or changed shape in surprising ways. This could not be explained by the NASA model, but I didn't have an alternative. Happily, armed with their new technology, some enquiring individuals have been searching the sky to see for themselves.

What they found may surprise you.

**"By the word of the LORD were the heavens made;  
and all the host of them by the breath of his mouth."**

**– Psalm 33:6**

## PART II

A number of technical considerations need to be kept in mind while studying the photos in Part II, in particular whether the image of the celestial body is in focus and properly exposed. The possibility of thermal distortion in the atmosphere must also be considered. These caveats might seem to limit the usefulness of these images, but we can still learn a great deal from them.

### **What the new images are telling us**

The images were in most cases taken with a Nikon P900 at a magnification of x83. That is a trivial magnification for objects that are supposed to be millions of light years away. A tiny dot of light at that distance would still appear as a tiny dot of light even if it were magnified a thousand times. No detail would be discernible. Since all of the stars and planets in our gallery were photographed at roughly the same magnification, they must be roughly the same distance from the earth.

Even a distance of a million miles must be ruled out at such a (relatively) low magnification. We know this because detail on the surface of the moon can be observed using the same focus. As one P900 user said: "The P900 is capable of focusing on the stars/planets with manual focus with relative ease. In fact, it is interesting to note that the same manual focus setting at 83x optical zoom with the sun and moon as the subject also maintains focus on all other celestial bodies..."

This would indicate that the stars and planets are no further away than the sun and the moon and that they are, as the Bible tells us, "lights" in the sky.



**Illustration of "weightlessness" in  
*From the Earth to the Moon*  
by Jules Verne (1865).**


### Detail and sharpness of images

We can also tell from our gallery of photos that in many cases neither the shape nor the color of the celestial body is constant. Given the detail and sharpness of the images, these variations in shape and color cannot be explained solely by reference to exposure, focus or thermal distortion. When an image is out of focus, over-exposed, or affected by thermal distortion, the result is normally a blurring or a marked *loss* of visual information, but these images continue to provide a high level of detail even as the shape and color of the subject mutates.

So, while it is difficult to say with certainty what any particular celestial body "really" looks like, it is clear from these photos that they possess remarkable properties. They cannot possibly be massive spheres of radioactive gas and plasma compressed by gravity, as NASA would have us believe.


---

The star **Rigel**, supposedly 5,000 million miles from earth.  
The 7<sup>th</sup> brightest star in the night sky.




---

The star **Arcturus**, supposedly 215 million million miles from earth.  
The 4<sup>th</sup> brightest star in the night sky.



---

The star **Sirius**, supposedly 50 million million miles from earth.  
The brightest star in the night sky.



---

The star **Procyon**, supposedly 67 million million miles from earth.  
The 8<sup>th</sup> brightest star in the night sky.

**Procyon** - images from source A



**Procyon** - images from source B






Photo credits: All photos are taken from videos on YouTube which began to appear around 2015 and which have been increasing rapidly in number ever since. Viewers are asking in the comments section of many videos why NASA has never released such images or why the images that it does release are of such poor quality. The makers of the videos from which these images are taken include Emily Suzanne, 'Flat Earth', 'Jewelry', QNFee, and 'Serbian'. We gratefully acknowledge their enterprising work.

We would urge readers to go into YouTube and see these videos for themselves. The static screen shots shown above do not do justice to the startling activity displayed in many of the videos.

## CONCLUSION

Pastors and believers are faced with a stark choice today, either to believe God's Word in its fullness and truth, or to drift further and further into a worldly formulation of Scripture which robs it of all power. Satan doesn't need to get rid of the Bible. He only needs to make it obscure to our understanding. And science, in its duplicitous guise, is playing a major role in promoting this obscurity. Billions of tax-payer dollars are being used to fund an elaborate program to deceive mankind, to create a false cosmology which conflicts at every turn with the truth of Genesis.

The children of wickedness would appear to take pleasure in blaspheming God's Word and making it seem primitive and 'unscientific'. Pastors and believers who blindly accept this – despite clear evidence of the skulduggery behind it – are failing in their duty to **"prove all things"** and **"hold fast that which is good"** (1 Thessalonians 5:21).

### Earth is not a tiny speck

How is it possible for a Bible-believing Christian to think that the Kingdom which God created for the first Adam, the Kingdom which the second Adam has earned through his own blood, should consist mostly of dark, empty space! How do they reconcile God's recurring emphasis in His Word on the beauty and splendour of creation – the Kingdom that His Son will inherit and rule in eternity – with the ever-expanding abyss of darkness known as *outer space*?

His Word also speaks of the stars as objects possessing individuality – **"He telleth the number of the stars; he calleth them all by their names."** (Psalm 147:4) The New Testament adds weight to this idea when it states that Jesus **"calleth his own sheep by name"** (John 10:3). The stars are as individual as we are. If so, they cannot possibly be huge homogenous spheres of hydrogen and helium gas!

---

Jeremy James

Ireland

November 12, 2017

For further information visit [www.zephaniah.eu](http://www.zephaniah.eu)

Copyright Jeremy James 2017